Presented at the American Society of Clinical Oncology 2007 ASCO Annual Meeting June 1-5, 2007, Chicago, Illinois

Co-Author: Roger M. Lyons, MD, FACP Cancer Care Centers of South Texas 4411 Medical Drive, Suite 100 San Antonio, TX 78229

Tolerability and hematologic improvement assessed using three alternative dosing schedules of azacitidine in patients with myelodysplastic syndromes.

Abstract No: 7083

Citation: Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2007 ASCO Annual Meeting Proceedings Part I. Vol 25,

No. 18S (June 20 Supplement), 2007: 7083

the FDA-approved regimen.

Author(s): R. M. Lyons, T. Cosgriff, S. Modi, H. McIntyre, C. L. Beach, J. T. Backstrom

Abstract: Background: At a dosing schedule of 75 mg/m2/day SC for 7 days every 4 weeks,

azacitidine is an effective and safe treatment (Tx) for patients (pts) with myelodysplastic syndromes (MDS) (JCO 2002; 20:2429). An alternative dosing schedule that eliminates the need for weekend dosing would be more convenient to pts and clinicians. Methods: In this phase II, multicenter, open-label trial, pts with MDS were randomized to 1 of 3 regimens that were repeated every 4 weeks: AZA 5-2-2 (75 mg/m2/day x 5 days, followed by 2 days no Tx, followed by 75 mg/m2/day x 2 days), AZA 5-2-5 (50 mg/m2/day x 5 days, followed by 2 days no Tx, followed by 50 mg/m2/day x 5 days) or AZA 5 (75 mg/m2/day x 5 days). To determine if response/improvement according to International Working Group criteria (Blood 2000; 96:3671) can be maintained after 6 cycles, the study was amended to include a 12-month maintenance comparing AZA 5 every 4 weeks with AZA 5 every 6 weeks. Results: As of Nov. 30, 2006, 138 pts have been randomized to AZA 5-2-2 (n=46), AZA 5-2-5 (n=47) and AZA 5 (n=45). Most pts are RA (43%) or RAEB (30%), based on FAB classification. Of 104 pts who have received =2 cycles of Tx, hematologic improvement (major or minor in at least 1 cell line) occurred in 63% (65) of the patients (Table). Of these pts. 14% had a bi-lineage (AZA 5-2-2: 11%, AZA 5-2-5: 10%, AZA 5: 22%) and 6% had a tri-lineage AZA 5-2-2: 6%, AZA 5-2-5: 7%, AZA 5: 5%) response (based on any improvement). Ongoing pts in the study include AZA 5-2-2: 41% (19/46), AZA 5-2-5: 47% (22/47), and AZA 5: 58% (26/45). No treatment-related mortality has been reported. Most Tx-related grade 3 or 4 events were hematological (AZA 5-2-2: 39%, AZA 5-2-5: 24%, AZA 5: 16%). Updated data, including several pts who have completed at least 6 cycles maintenance, will be available at the time of the meeting. Conclusions: These data indicate that the 3 alternative azacitidine dosing schedules are safe, effective, and similar in efficacy with

	AZA 5-2-2 (N=36) ^a		AZA 5-2-5 (N=31) ^a		AZA 5 (N=37) ^a	
Hematologic Improvement	n (%)	95% CI	n (%)	95% CI	n (%)	95% CI
Hematologic Improvement ^b	19 (53)	40-72	22 (71)	53-86	24 (65)	49-80
Erythroid - Major	15 (42)	26-59	15 (48)	29-65	16 (43)	29-62
Erythroid - Minor	2 (6)	1-17	3 (10)	2-25	2 (5)	1-18
Platelet - Major	8 (22)	15-45	7 (23)	12-43	7 (19)	7-34
Platelet - Minor	0	0-9	0	0-11	3 (8)	2-21
Neutrophil - Major	3 (8)	4-27	4 (13)	4-29	7 (19)	8-34
Neutrophil - Minor	0	0-9	0	0-11	1 (3)	0-14

^aPatients with at least 56 days on azacitidine; ^bPatients were counted once in the improvement total